## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

CHOATE, et al., Case No. 2:17-cv-03094-RFB-VCF

Plaintiff's, v.

NEVADA DIVISION MORTGAGE LENDING, et al.,

Defendant's.

**ORDER** 

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 13) of the Honorable Cam Ferenbach, United States Magistrate Judge, entered May 22, 2018.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by June 5, 2018. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendations.

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 13) is
2	ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 12) is
4	DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.
5	The clerk's office is directed to close this case.
6	
7	DATED this 21st day of June, 2018.
8	<b>A</b>
9	RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
10	UNITED STATES DISTRCIT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	